Study of Opportunistic Cooperation Techniques using Jamming and Relays for Physical-Layer Security in Buffer-aided Relay Networks

Xiaotao Lu and Rodrigo C. de Lamare

arXiv:1512.07310v1 [cs.IT] 23 Dec 2015

***Abstract*—In this paper, we investigate opportunistic relay and jammer cooperation schemes in multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) buffer-aided relay networks. The network consists of one source, an arbitrary number of relay nodes, legitimate users and eavesdroppers, with the constraints of physical layer security. We propose an algorithm to select a set of relay nodes to enhance the legitimate users' transmission and another set of relay nodes to perform jamming of the eavesdroppers. With Inter-Relay interference (IRI) taken into account, interference cancellation can be implemented to assist the transmission of the legitimate users. Secondly, IRI can also be used to further increase the level of harm of the jamming signal to the eavesdroppers. By exploiting the fact that the jamming signal can be stored at the relay nodes, we also propose a hybrid algorithm to set a signal-to-interference and noise ratio (SINR) threshold at the node to determine the type of signal stored at the relay node. With this separation, the signals with high SINR are delivered to the users as conventional relay systems and the low SINR performance signals are stored as potential jamming signals. Simulation results show that the proposed techniques obtain a significant improvement in secrecy rate over previously reported algorithms.**

***Index Terms*—Physical-layer security techniques, secrecy-rate analysis, relay selection, jamming techniques.**

I. Introduction

In broadcast channels, secure transmission is difficult to achieve due to the broadcast nature of wireless communication systems. Traditional encryption techniques are implemented in the network layer. With complex algorithms, encryption keys which are nearly unbreakable are generated to ensure security while their costs are extremely high. To reduce the costs of encryption algorithms, researchers are investigating novel security techniques in the physical layer of wireless systems. Physical-layer security has been first illustrated in [3] from the viewpoint of information theory. The feasibility of physical-layer security has been discussed by Shannon at the theoretical level in the paper. Later on in [4] a wire-tap channel which can achieve positive secrecy rate has been proposed by Wyner under the assumption that the users experience a better channel than eavesdroppers. Since then, the wire-tap model along with other techniques such as the broadcast channel [5], MIMO channels [6], [7], artificial noise [8], beamforming [9] as well as relay systems [10] have been studied. In this work, we focus on multiple-antenna m relay systems and cooperation schemes [43], [44], [45], [46], [47], [48], [49], [50], [51], [52], [53], [54], [55], [56], [57], [58], [59], [60], [61], [62], [63], [64], [65], [66], [67], [68],[69], [70], [71], [72], [73], [74], [75], [80], [77], [78], [79], [80], [81], [82], [83], [84], [85]. involving relay and jamming strategies in relays equipped with buffers.

Xiaotao Lu is with the Communications Research Group, Department of Electronics, University of York, YO10 5DD York, U.K., R. C. de Lamare is with CETUC, PUC-Rio, Brazil and with the Communications Research Group, Department of Electronics, University of York, YO10 5DD York, U.K. This work was supported by CNPq and FAPERJ. E-mails: xtl503@york.ac.uk; rodrigo.delamare@york.ac.uk.

A. Prior and Related Work

In recent years [11], the concept of physical-layer security with multiuser wireless networks has been investigated in numerous studies. Approaches to achieving physical-layer security include the design of transmit precoding strategies without the need for a secret key and the exploitation of the wireless communication medium to develop secret keys over public channels [86], [87], [88], [89], [90], [91], [92], [93], [107], [95], [96], [97], [98], [99], [100], [101], [102], [103], [104], [105], [106], [107], [108], [109], [110], [111], [112], [113], [114], [118], [116], [117], [118], [119], [120], [121], [122], [123], [124], [125], [126], [127], [128], [129], [130], [131], [132], [133], [134], [135], [136], [137]. Relay-based cooperative systems [11] are an important evolution of secure transmission strategies that can further improve the performance of wireless systems. In this context, buffer-aided relay nodes have recently drawn much attention [12], [13] and [14] due to their potential to further improve the secrecy rate in wireless transmissions as compared to standard relays. In our prior investigation with [15], [16] and [17] we have reported precoding techniques [18] as well as buffer-aided relay system [19] which improve the secrecy rate performance in multiuser MIMO systems.

Prior work on buffer-aided relay systems with secure transmissions has been considered in half-duplex and full-duplex systems. In [12], the system model is described as one source, one half-duplex decode-and-forward (DF) buffer relay and one destination. Regarding the availability of the channel state information at the transmitter (CSIT), two schemes with fixed-rate transmission and mixed-rate transmission have been proposed. Based on the instantaneous signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the source-relay and relay-destination links a solution to the throughput-optimal problem has been reported [12]. In [13], a max-ratio relay selection policy has been proposed to optimize the secrecy transmission rate with the consideration of exact and average gains of the eavesdroppers's channels. In [14], a two-hop half-duplex buffer-aided relay system has been studied, where a relay selection which adapts reception and transmission time slots on the channel quality is proposed

and the selection parameters are optimized to maximize the secrecy throughput or minimize the secrecy outage probability (SOP). Half-duplex systems can avoid the interference for the transmission at the relay nodes and their drawback lies in the requirement of two or more time slots for transmission, which decreases the transmission rate significantly.

Compared with half-duplex systems, full-duplex systems can provide a better performance in terms of transmission rate. An opportunistic relay scheme has been applied to buffer-aided systems in [20], [21] and [22]. In the opportunistic relay scheme, the inter-relay interference (IRI) is an important aspect that should be taken into account. In [20], IRI cancellation has been combined for the first time with buffer-aided relays and power adaptation to mitigate IRI and minimize the energy consumption. With one source and one destination, a new relay selection policy has been analyzed in terms of outage probability and diversity. Furthermore, in [21] a distributed joint relay-pair selection has been proposed with the aim of rate maximization in each time slot. By exploring the feasibility of IRI cancellation at the relay nodes, it gives the threshold to avoid increased relay-pair switching and CSI acquisition. With relay selection, in [22] and [23] jammer selection as well as a joint relay and jammer selection have been proposed. In such systems, relays may obtain a better transmission rate for the legitimate users, whereas jammers can interfere in the transmission to the eavesdropper, resulting in improvement of the secrecy rate. There are very few works in the literature which consider cooperation between opportunistic buffer-aided relay schemes with jamming techniques.

B. Contributions

Our work focuses on the use of the interference among buffer-aided relays to assist the secure transmission to the users. The major contributions in our paper are:

- Novel multi-user relay systems with relay and jammer function selection to achieve high secrecy rates.
- Novel multi-user buffer-aided relay systems with signals stored in the buffers which are capable of jamming eavesdroppers to achieve high secrecy rate.
- Secrecy rate analyses of the proposed relay and jammer selection schemes

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section II, the system model and the performance metrics are introduced. A brief review of the buffer relay selection is included in Section III. The proposed relay and jammer function selection (RJF) as well as the buffer-aided RJF (BF-RJF) selection are introduced in Section IV. In Section IV, we discuss and present the simulation results. The conclusions are given in Section V.

C. Notation

Bold uppercase letters $\boldsymbol{A} \in \mathbf{C}^{M\times N}$ denote matrices with size $M \times N$ and bold lowercase letters $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbf{C}^{M\times 1}$ denote column vectors with length M. Conjugate, transpose, and conjugate transpose are represented by $(\cdot)^*$, $(\cdot)^T$ and $(\cdot)^H$ respectively; $\boldsymbol{I}_M$ is the identity matrix of size $M \times M$; $\mathrm{diag}\{\boldsymbol{a}\}$ denotes a diagonal matrix with the elements of the vector $\boldsymbol{a}$ along its diagonal; $\mathcal{CN}(0, \sigma_n^2)$ represents complex Gaussian random variables with $i.i.d$ entries with zero mean and σ_n^2 variance.

II. System Model and Performance Metrics

In this section, we introduce the buffer-aided relay system model and describe the data transmission. The problem statement is then presented along with the performance metrics used to assess the proposed and existing techniques.

A. System Model



Fig. 1: System model of a MU-MIMO system with M users, N eavesdroppers T relays and K jammers

Fig. 1 gives a description of a transmitter with N_t antennas used to transmit the data streams to M users along with N eavesdroppers. With T relays and K jammers, in each time slot the selected relays and the eavesdroppers receive the signal from both the source and the jammers. Note that the signals from the jammers are the signals transmitted in the previous time slots. They exploit the buffers at the relay nodes. Each relay and jammer is equipped with N_i and N_k antennas. At the receiver side each user and eavesdropper is equipped with N_r and N_e receive antennas. In this system, we assume that the eavesdroppers do not jam the transmission of each user, relay or jammer and that the eavesdropper channel is a flat-fading MIMO channel. The quantities $\boldsymbol{H}_i \in \mathbf{C}^{N_i\times N_t}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}_e \in \mathbf{C}^{N_e\times N_t}$ denote the channel matrix from the source directly to the ith relay and the eth eavesdropper, respectively. The quantities $\boldsymbol{H}_{ke} \in \mathbf{C}^{N_e\times N_k}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}_{kr} \in \mathbf{C}^{N_r\times N_k}$ denote the channel matrix of the eth eavesdropper to the kth jammer and rth user to the kth jammer, respectively. The channel between the kth relay to the ith relay is described by $\boldsymbol{H}_{ki} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_i\times N_k}$.

The vector $\boldsymbol{s}_r^{(t)} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_r\times 1}$ represents the data symbols to be transmitted corresponding to each user in time slot t. The total transmit signal at the transmitter can be expressed as $\boldsymbol{s}^{(t)} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{s}_1^{(t)^T} & \boldsymbol{s}_2^{(t)^T} & \boldsymbol{s}_3^{(t)^T} & \cdots & \boldsymbol{s}_M^{(t)^T} \end{bmatrix}^T$. In each phase the received signal $\boldsymbol{y}_i^{(t)} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_i\times 1}$ at each relay node can be expressed as

$$\boldsymbol{y}_i^{(t)} = \boldsymbol{H}_i \boldsymbol{s}^{(t)} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \boldsymbol{H}_{ki} \boldsymbol{y}_k^{(pt)} + \boldsymbol{n}_i, \tag{1}$$

In (1), the superscript $(\cdot)^{(pt)}$ represents the previous time slot when the signal is stored as a jamming signal in the buffer at

the relay nodes. The second term $\boldsymbol{H}_{ik}\boldsymbol{y}_k^{(pt)}$ is regarded as the inter-relay interference (IRI) between the ith relay and the kth relay. The received signal $\boldsymbol{y}_k^{(pt)}$ is determined as the jamming signal according to a signal-to-interference-plus-noise ratio (SINR) criterion illustrated in the paper. With the theorem in [20], IRI can be eliminated. The received signal at the eth eavesdropper is given by

$$\boldsymbol{y}_e^{(t)} = \boldsymbol{H}_e\boldsymbol{s}^{(t)} + \sum_{k=1}^{K}\boldsymbol{H}_{ke}\boldsymbol{y}_k^{(pt)} + \boldsymbol{n}_e, \tag{2}$$

where for the eavesdropper, the second term $\boldsymbol{H}_{ek}\boldsymbol{y}_k^{(pt)}$ acts as the jamming signal and this jamming signal can not be removed without the knowledge of the channel from the kth jammer to the eth eavesdropper.

In (1) and (2), the IRI term between relay nodes or the jamming signal to the eavesdropper is also the transmit signal from the relays nodes to the destination. We assume that the transmit signal from the relay nodes is described by $\boldsymbol{r}^{(t)} = \begin{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{y}_1^{(pt_1)^T} & \boldsymbol{y}_2^{(pt_2)^T} & \boldsymbol{y}_3^{(pt_3)^T} & \cdots & \boldsymbol{y}_T^{(pt_T)^T}\end{bmatrix}^T$. Note that the superscript $(\cdot)^{(pt)}$ represents the previous time slot due to the nature of relay nodes with buffers. The values for the ith relay node can be different so the previous time slot is represented by $(\cdot)^{(pt_i)}$. The received signal at the destination is expressed as

$$\boldsymbol{y}_r^{(t)} = \sum_{k=1}^{T}\boldsymbol{H}_{kr}\boldsymbol{y}_k^{(pt_k)} + \boldsymbol{n}_r, \tag{3}$$

Depending on the cancellation of the IRI at the relay nodes, two types of schemes can be applied. Without IRI cancellation, based on (1) the SINR at relay node i is given by

$$\Gamma_i^{(t)} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{S,R_i}}{\varphi(k,i)\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{R_k,R_i} + N_i\sigma_i^2}, \tag{4}$$

where $\varphi(k,i)$ is the factor indicating the performance of IRI cancellation which we will discuss later and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{m,n}$ represents the instantaneous received signal power for the link $m \longrightarrow n$:

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{S,R_i} = \mathrm{trace}(\boldsymbol{H}_i\boldsymbol{H}_i^H), \tag{5}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{R_k,R_i} = \mathrm{trace}(\boldsymbol{H}_{ki}\boldsymbol{H}_i^{(pt)}{\boldsymbol{H}_i^{(pt)}}^H\boldsymbol{H}_{ki}^H), \tag{6}$$

Note that the superscript $\boldsymbol{H}_i^{(pt)}$ is applied due to the nature of the signal stored in the buffers. The SINR at the eavesdropper node e $\Gamma_e^{(t)}$ as well as the legitimate user r $\Gamma_r^{(t)}$ can be expressed as

$$\Gamma_e^{(t)} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{S,E_e}}{\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{R_k,E_e} + N_e\sigma_e^2}, \tag{7}$$

and

$$\Gamma_r^{(t)} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{R_k,R_r}}{N_r\sigma_r^2}, \tag{8}$$

where in (7) and (8) we have

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{S,E_e} = \mathrm{trace}(\boldsymbol{H}_e\boldsymbol{H}_e^H), \tag{9}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{R_k,E_e} = \mathrm{trace}(\boldsymbol{H}_{ke}\boldsymbol{H}_i^{(pt)}{\boldsymbol{H}_i^{(pt)}}^H\boldsymbol{H}_{ke}^H), \tag{10}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{R_k,R_r} = \mathrm{trace}(\boldsymbol{H}_{kr}\boldsymbol{H}_i^{(pt)}{\boldsymbol{H}_i^{(pt)}}^H\boldsymbol{H}_{kr}^H), \tag{11}$$

If the IRI cancellation can be performed at the relay node, $\varphi(k,i)\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{R_k,R_i} = 0$ and the SINR at relay node i, eavesdropper e and receiver r can be expressed, respectively, as

$$\Gamma_i^{(t)} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{S,R_i}}{N_i\sigma_i^2}, \tag{12}$$

$$\Gamma_e^{(t)} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{S,E_e}}{\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{R_k,E_e} + N_e\sigma_e^2}, \tag{13}$$

$$\Gamma_r^{(t)} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{R_k,R_r}}{N_r\sigma_r^2}, \tag{14}$$

According to [20], the feasibility of IRI cancellation in single-input-single-output (SISO) channels is considered. When we consider the MIMO scenario with the same definition the factor $\varphi(k,i)$ can be expressed as,

$$\varphi(k,i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \det\left((\frac{P}{N_t}\boldsymbol{H}_i\boldsymbol{H}_i^H + \boldsymbol{I})^{-1}\frac{P}{N_k}\boldsymbol{H}_{ki}\boldsymbol{H}_{ki}^H\right) \geqslant \gamma_0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases}$$

When interference cancellation is feasible, $\varphi(k,i) = 0$, the interfering signal is firstly decoded and then subtracted at the relay prior to the decoding of the source signal. In this case, the received signal at the relay node is not affected by the IRI.

B. Problem Formulation

In this subsection, we present the problem formulation and describe the main performance metrics used to assess the performance of the proposed algorithms.

The MIMO system secrecy capacity without consideration of interference is expressed as [7]:

$$\begin{aligned} C_s = &\max_{\boldsymbol{Q}_s\geq 0,\mathrm{Tr}(\boldsymbol{Q}_s)=\mathrm{E_s}} \log(\det(\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{H}_{ba}\boldsymbol{Q}_s\boldsymbol{H}_{ba}^H)) \\ &- \log(\det(\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{H}_{ea}\boldsymbol{Q}_s\boldsymbol{H}_{ea}^H)),\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

In (15) $\boldsymbol{Q}_s$ is the covariance matrix associated with the signal and $\boldsymbol{H}_{ba}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}_{ea}$ represent the links between the source to the users and eavesdroppers, respectively. For the relay system [22], according to (1) and (3), with equal power P allocated to the transmitter and relay, the achievable rate of the users can be expressed as

$$R_r = \log(\det(\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_r^{(t)})), \tag{16}$$

and the $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_r^{(t)}$ according to (8) is given as

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_r^{(t)} = \sum_{k=1}^{k=K}\frac{P}{N_k}\boldsymbol{H}_{kr}\boldsymbol{H}_{kr}^H(\boldsymbol{I} + \frac{P}{N_t}\boldsymbol{H}_i^{(pt)}{\boldsymbol{H}_i^{(pt)}}^H), \tag{17}$$

Similarly, for eavesdropper e the achievable rate assuming global knowledge for all the links is given by

$$R_e = \log(\det(\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_e^{(t)})), \tag{18}$$

and $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_e^{(t)}$ according to (7) is described by

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_e^{(t)} = (\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{\Delta})^{-1}\frac{P}{N_t}\boldsymbol{H}_e{\boldsymbol{H}_e}^H, \tag{19}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\Delta} = \sum_{e=1}^{N}\sum_{k=1}^{K}\frac{P}{N_k}\boldsymbol{H}_{ke}\boldsymbol{H}_{ke}^H(\boldsymbol{I} + \frac{P}{N_t}\boldsymbol{H}_i^{(pt)}{\boldsymbol{H}_i^{(pt)}}^H), \tag{20}$$

With (16) and (18) the secrecy rate for the multiple users is expressed as

$$R = \sum_{r=1}^{T} \sum_{e=1}^{N} [R_r - R_e]^+, \tag{21}$$

where $[x]^+ = max(0, x)$.

Our objective is to develop an algorithm to select the best set of relay nodes to perform relay or jammer functions in order to maximize the secrecy rate. Then, the optimization problem can be formulated as

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{k,i} \quad & R \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & k, i \in \boldsymbol{\Psi} \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ represents the relay node poll. The quantities k and i denote the selected relay and jamming function nodes, respectively.

III. Buffer-aided Relay and Jammer Function Selection (BF-RJFS)

In this section a novel relay and jammer function selection policy based on the max-ratio relay selection policy in [13] and SINR criterion in [23] is proposed.

A. Motivation

The aforementioned max-min and max-link relay selection policy are effective in improving the transmission rate in the relay system, but the eavesdropper is not taken into consideration. Thus, we apply the max-ratio relay selection which considers the existence of an eavesdropper. Unlike the max-ratio relay selection policy, for relay selection we use the SINR criterion. To further enhance the secrecy rate performance, we assume the system operates in an opportunistic scheme so that the relay can also act as a jammer to the eavesdropper. In the following, we will give the details of the proposed algorithm.

B. Algorithm Description

We assume that the total number of relay nodes is Q. To apply the opportunistic scheme in the system, an initial state is set in each relay according to the SINR without jamming:

$$\Gamma_q = \arg\max_{\mathrm{q}\in\boldsymbol{\Psi}} \det\left(\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathrm{q}} \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathrm{q}}{}^{\mathrm{H}}\right), \tag{23}$$

where the jamming relay nodes also transmit the signals to the users, the selected relay can be either best SINR performance ones which will benefit for the legitimate users transmission or worst SINR performance ones which provide jamming to the eavesdropper. Here we choose relays with the best SINR performance. When the K relays that forward the signals to the users are determined, the relays used for signal reception are chosen based on the SINR criterion, as given by

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}_m = \arg\max_{\mathrm{m}\in\boldsymbol{\Psi}} \left((\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{e}}^{(\mathrm{t})})^{-1} (\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{m}}^{(\mathrm{t})}) \right), \tag{24}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\phi}_m$ represents the selected relays and $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_m^{(t)}$ is the SINR corresponding to the mth relay which is calculated based on (4) and is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_m^{(t)} = (\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{\Delta}'_m)^{-1} (\boldsymbol{H}_m \boldsymbol{H}_m^H), \tag{25}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\Delta}'_m = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \boldsymbol{H}_{km} \boldsymbol{H}_m^{(pt)} \boldsymbol{H}_m^{(pt)\,H} \boldsymbol{H}_{km}^H, \tag{26}$$

with the SINR calculated for the eth eavesdropper described by

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_e^{(t)} = (\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{\Delta}'_e)^{-1} (\frac{P}{N_t} \boldsymbol{H}_e \boldsymbol{H}_e{}^H), \tag{27}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\Delta}'_e = \sum_{e=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{P}{N_k} \boldsymbol{H}_{ke} \boldsymbol{H}_{ke}^H (\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{\xi}), \tag{28}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\xi} = \frac{P}{N_t} \boldsymbol{H}_m^{(pt)} \boldsymbol{H}_m^{(pt)\,H}, \tag{29}$$

Here the selection of the T relays used for signal reception is described. The selection of the set of jamming relays is performed simultaneously. Apart from the T selected relays, the rest of the relays are selected with the SINR criterion:

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}_n = \arg\max_{\mathrm{n}\in\boldsymbol{\Psi}} \left((\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{e}}^{(\mathrm{t})})^{-1} (\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(\mathrm{t})}) \right), \tag{30}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_n^{(t)}$ is the SINR corresponding to the nth relay which is calculated based on (8) and is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_n^{(t)} = \boldsymbol{H}_{nr} \boldsymbol{H}_n^{(pt)} \boldsymbol{H}_n^{(pt)\,H} \boldsymbol{H}_{nr}^H, \tag{31}$$

With the SINR calculated for the eth eavesdropper given by

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_e^{(t)} = (\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{\Delta}''_e)^{-1} (\frac{P}{N_k} \boldsymbol{H}_{ne} \boldsymbol{H}_n^{(pt)} \boldsymbol{H}_n^{(pt)\,H} \boldsymbol{H}_{ne}^H), \tag{32}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\Delta}''_e = \sum_{e=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{P}{N_k} \boldsymbol{H}_{ke} \boldsymbol{H}_{ke}^H (\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{\xi}), \tag{33}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\xi} = \frac{P}{N_t} \boldsymbol{H}_{ne} \boldsymbol{H}_{ne}{}^H, \tag{34}$$

Then the relays used for jamming in the next time slot are selected. With a loop of the selection of receiving relays and jamming relays the system can provide a better secrecy performance as compared to conventional relay systems. In Algorithm 1 the main steps are detailed.

IV. Simulation Results

In the simulations, a system with $N_t = 6$ transmit antennas, $M = 3$ users and $N = 3$ eavesdroppers is considered. The total number of relays is set to $Q = 6$ and among them $T = 3$ and $K = 3$ relays nodes are selected. Each user, eavesdropper and relay node is equipped with $N_r = 2$, $N_e = 2$, $N_i = 2$ and $N_k = 2$ receive antennas.

In Fig. 2, in a single-antenna scenario, the secrecy performance with the proposed algorithm is better than that with the conventional algorithm. With IRI cancellation, the secrecy rate is better than the one without IRI cancellation. Compared with single antenna scenario, the multi-user MIMO system contributes to the improvement in the secrecy rate. In addition, the proposed algorithm with the selected set of buffer-aided relays (SBF) selection policy is better than the conventional buffer-aided relay selection (BF).

Algorithm 1 BF-RJFS Algorithm

for $k = 1 : K$ **do**
  for $q = 1 : Q$ **do**
    $\Gamma_q = \arg\max_{\mathrm{q}\in\mathbf{\Psi}} \det(\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathrm{q}}\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathrm{q}}{}^{\mathrm{H}})$
  end for
  $\Gamma_k{}^0 = \Gamma_q$
  $Q^0 = [1 \quad 2 \quad \cdots \quad q-1 \quad q+1 \cdots Q]$
end for
$Q = Q^0$
loop
  for $i = 1 : T$ **do**
    for $m = 1 : Q^0$ **do**
      $\mathbf{\Gamma}_m^{(t)} = (\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{\Delta}'_m)^{-1}(\boldsymbol{H}_m\boldsymbol{H}_m^H)$
      $\mathbf{\Gamma}_e^{(t)} = (\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{\Delta}'_e)^{-1}(\frac{P}{N_t}\boldsymbol{H}_e\boldsymbol{H}_e{}^H)$
      $\phi_m = \arg\max_{\mathrm{m}\in\mathbf{\Psi}} \left((\boldsymbol{I} + \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{e}}^{(\mathrm{t})})^{-1}(\boldsymbol{I} + \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{m}}^{(\mathrm{t})})\right)$
      $Q^1 = [1 \quad 2 \quad \cdots \quad m-1 \quad m+1 \cdots M]$
    end for
  end for
  for $k = 1 : K$ **do**
    for $n = 1 : M^1$ **do**
      $\mathbf{\Gamma}_n^{(t)} = \boldsymbol{H}_{nr}\boldsymbol{H}_n^{(pt)}\boldsymbol{H}_n^{(pt)H}\boldsymbol{H}_{nr}^H$
      $\mathbf{\Gamma}_e^{(t)} = (\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{\Delta}''_e)^{-1}(\frac{P}{N_k}\boldsymbol{H}_{ne}\boldsymbol{H}_n^{(pt)}\boldsymbol{H}_n^{(pt)H}\boldsymbol{H}_{ne}^H)$
      $\phi_n = \arg\max_{\mathrm{n}\in\mathbf{\Psi}} \left((\boldsymbol{I} + \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{e}}^{(\mathrm{t})})^{-1}(\boldsymbol{I} + \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(\mathrm{t})})\right)$
      $Q^0 = [1 \quad 2 \quad \cdots \quad n-1 \quad n+1 \cdots M]$
    end for
  end for
end loop



Fig. 2: Comparison of single-antenna with multi-user MIMO system scenario

In Fig. 2, the power allocation technique is implemented and the parameter η indicates the power allocated to the transmitter. If we assume in the equal power scenario that the power allocated to the transmitter as well as relays are both P, then the power allocated to the transmitter is ηP and the power allocated to the relays is $(2-\eta)P$. From Fig. 2 we can see that with more power allocated to the transmitter the secrecy rate will decrease. Comparing (a) with (b), when $\eta < 1.5$ the secrecy rate performance in IRI cancellation scenario is better than that without IRI cancellation. When $\eta > 1.5$ and without IRI cancellation, the secrecy rate can achieve a better performance.

V. Conclusion

In this work, we have proposed algorithms to select a set of relay nodes to enhance the legitimate users' transmission and another set of relay nodes to perform jamming of the eavesdroppers. The proposed selection algorithms can exploit the use of the buffers in the relay nodes that may remain silence during the data transmission. Simulation results show that the proposed buffer-aided relay and jammer function selection (BF-RJFS) can provide a better secrecy rate performance in a multiuser MIMO relay system than existing buffer-aided relay systems.

References

[1] T. L. Marzetta, Noncooperative cellular wireless with unlimited numbers of base station antennas, IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun., vol. 9, no. 11, pp. 35903600, Nov. 2010.

[2] R. C. de Lamare, "Massive MIMO Systems: Signal Processing Challenges and Future Trends", URSI Radio Science Bulletin, 2013.

[3] C. Shannon, "Communication theory of secrecy systems," Bell System Technical Journal, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 656715, Oct 1949.

[4] A. D. Wyner, "The wire-tap channel," Bell System Technical Journal, vol. 54, no. 8, pp. 13551387, 1975.

[5] I. Csiszar and J. Korner, "Broadcast channels with confidential messages," IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 339348, May 1978.

[6] F. Oggier and B. Hassibi, "The secrecy capacity of the mimo wiretap channel," IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,, vol. 57, no. 8, pp. 49614972, Aug 2011.

[7] T. Liu and S. Shamai, "A note on the secrecy capacity of the multipleantenna wiretap channel," IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,, vol. 55, no. 6, pp. 25472553, June 2009.

[8] S. Goel and R. Negi, "Guaranteeing secrecy using artificial noise," IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun., vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 21802189, June 2008.

[9] J. Zhang and M. Gursoy, "Collaborative relay beamforming for secrecy," in IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC), May 2010, pp. 15.

[10] Y. Oohama, "Capacity theorems for relay channels with confidential messages," in IEEE International Symposium on Inform. Theory (ISIT), June 2007, pp. 926930.

[11] A. Mukherjee, S. Fakoorian, J. Huang, and A. Swindlehurst, "Principles of physical layer security in multiuser wireless networks: A survey," IEEE Communications Surveys Tutorials, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 15501573, August 2014.

[12] N. Zlatanov and R. Schober, "Buffer-aided relaying with adaptive link selection-fixed and mixed rate transmission," IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,, vol. 59, no. 5, pp. 28162840, May 2013.

[13] G. Chen, Z. Tian, Y. Gong, Z. Chen, and J. Chambers, "Max-ratio relay selection in secure buffer-aided cooperative wireless networks," IEEE Trans. Inform. Forensics and Security,, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 719729, April 2014.

[14] J. Huang and A. Swindlehurst, "Wireless physical layer security enhancement with buffer-aided relaying," in 2013 Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems and Computers, Nov 2013, pp. 15601564.

[15] K. Zu and R. de Lamare, "Low-complexity lattice reduction-aided regularized block diagonalization for mu-mimo systems," IEEE Communications Letters, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 925928, June 2012.

[16] K. Zu, R. de Lamare, and M. Haardt, "Generalized design of lowcomplexity block diagonalization type precoding algorithms for multiuser mimo systems," IEEE Trans. Communications, vol. 61, no. 10, pp. 42324242, October 2013.

[17] K. Zu K, R. de Lamare, and M. Haardt, "Multi-branch tomlinson-harashima precoding design for mu-mimo systems: Theory and algorithms," IEEE Trans. Communications, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 939951, March 2014.

[18] X. Lu, K. Zu, and R. C.de Lamare, "Lattice-reduction aided successive optimization tomlinson-harashima precoding strategies for physical-layer security in wireless networks," in Sensor Signal Processing for Defence (SSPD), 2014, Sept 2014, pp. 15.

[19] X. Lu and R. C. Lamare, "Buffer-aided relay selection for physical-layer security in wireless networks," in Proceedings of 19th International ITG Workshop on Smart Antennas (WSA), March 2015, pp. 15.

[20] N. Nomikos, T. Charalambous, I. Krikidis, D. Skoutas, D. Vouyioukas, and M. Johansson, "Buffer-aided successive opportunistic relaying with inter-relay interference cancellation," in IEEE 24th International Symposium on Personal Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications (PIMRC), Sept 2013, pp. 13161320.

[21] N. Nomikos, P. Makris, D. Vouyioukas, D. Skoutas, and C. Skianis, "'Distributed joint relay-pair selection for buffer-aided successive opportunistic relaying," in 2013 IEEE 18th International Workshop on Computer Aided Modeling and Design of Communication Links and Networks (CAMAD), Sept 2013, pp. 185189.

[22] J. H. Lee and W. Choi, "Multiuser diversity for secrecy communications using opportunistic jammer selection: Secure dof and jammer scaling law," IEEE Trans. Signal Processing,, vol. 62, no. 4, pp. 828839, Feb 2014.

[23] J. Chen, R. Zhang, L. Song, Z. Han, and B. Jiao, "Joint relay and jammer selection for secure two-way relay networks," IEEE Trans. Inform. Forensics and Security,, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 310320, Feb 2012.

[24] R. Aggarwal, C. E. Koksal, and P. Schniter, "On the design of large scale wireless systems", IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 215-225, Feb. 2013.

[25] W. Zhang, H. Ren, C. Pan, M. Chen, R. C. de Lamare, B. Du and J. Dai, "Large-Scale Antenna Systems With UL/DL Hardware Mismatch: Achievable Rates Analysis and Calibration", IEEE Trans. Commun., vol.63, no.4, pp. 1216-1229, April 2015.

[26] C. Shepard, H. Yu, N. Anand, L. E. Li, T. L. Marzetta, R. Yang, and L. Zhong, Argos: Practical many-antenna base stations, in ACM Int. Conf.Mobile Computing and Networking (MobiCom), Istanbul, Turkey, Aug. 2012.

[27] X. Gao, F. Tufvesson, O. Edfors, and F. Rusek, Measured propagation characteristics for very-large MIMO at 2.6 GHz, in Proc. of the 46th Annual Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems, and Computers,, Pacific Grove, California, USA, Nov. 2012.

[28] H. Q. Ngo, E. G. Larsson, and T. L. Marzetta, "Energy and spectral efficiency of very large multiuser MIMO systems", IEEE Trans. Commun., vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 1436-1449, Apr. 2013.

[29] H. Yang and T. L. Marzetta, Performance of conjugate and zero-forcing beamforming in large-scale antenna systems, IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun., vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 172179, Feb. 2013.

[30] K. Vardhan, S. Mohammed, A. Chockalingam and B. Rajan, "A low-complexity detector for large MIMO systems and multicarrier CDMA systems", IEEE J. Sel. Commun., vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 473-485, Apr. 2008.

[31] P. Li and R. D. Murch, Multiple Output Selection-LAS Algorithm in Large MIMO Systems, IEEE Commun. Lett., vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 399-401, May 2010.

[32] J. W. Choi, A. C. Singer, J Lee, N. I. Cho, Improved linear soft-input soft-output detection via soft feedback successive interference cancellation, *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol.58, no.3, pp.986-996, March 2010.

[33] P. Li, R. C. de Lamare and R. Fa, "Multiple Feedback Successive Interference Cancellation Detection for Multiuser MIMO Systems," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 10, no. 8, pp. 2434 - 2439, August 2011.

[34] R.C. de Lamare, R. Sampaio-Neto, Minimum mean-squared error iterative successive parallel arbitrated decision feedback detectors for DS-CDMA systems, IEEE Trans. Commun., vol. 56, no. 5, May 2008, pp. 778-789.

[35] R.C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Adaptive reduced-rank equalization algorithms based on alternating optimization design techniques for MIMO systems," IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol., vol. 60, no. 6, pp. 2482-2494, July 2011.

[36] R. C. de Lamare, "Adaptive and Iterative Multi-Branch MMSE Decision Feedback Detection Algorithms for Multi-Antenna Systems", *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 14, no. 10, October 2013.

[37] T. Schenk, *RF Imperfections in High-rate Wireless Systems: Impact and Digital Compensation*, 1st ed. Springer, Feb. 2008.

[38] S. Buzzi, M. Lops, and S. Sardellitti, "Widely linear reception strategies for layered space-time wireless communications", IEEE Trans. Sig. Proc., vol. 54, no. 6, pp. 2252-2262, Jun. 2006.

[39] P. Chevalier and A. Blin, "Widely linear MVDR beamformers for the reception of an unknown signal corrupted by noncircular interferences", IEEE Trans. Sig. Proc., vol. 55, no. 11, pp. 53235336, Nov. 2007.

[40] P. Chevalier and F. Dupuy, "Widely Linear Alamouti Receiver for the Reception of Real-Valued Constellations Corrupted by Interferences-The Alamouti-SAIC/MAIC Concept", IEEE Trans. Sig. Proc., Vol. 59, No. 7, July 2011.

[41] A. Hakkarainen, J. Werner, K. R. Dandekar and M. Valkama, "Widely-linear beamforming and RF impairment suppression in massive antenna arrays," Journal of Communications and Networks, vol.15, no.4, pp.383,397, Aug. 2013.

[42] X. Wang and H. V. Poor, "Iterative (turbo) soft interference cancellation and decoding for coded CDMA," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 47, pp. 10461061, July 1999.

[43] R. C. de Lamare, "Massive MIMO Systems: Signal Processing Challenges and Future Trends", Radio Science Bulletin, December 2013.

[44] W. Zhang, H. Ren, C. Pan, M. Chen, R. C. de Lamare, B. Du and J. Dai, "Large-Scale Antenna Systems With UL/DL Hardware Mismatch: Achievable Rates Analysis and Calibration", IEEE Trans. Commun., vol.63, no.4, pp. 1216-1229, April 2015.

[45] M. Costa, "Writing on dirty paper," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 439-441, May 1983.

[46] R. C. de Lamare and A. Alcaim, "Strategies to improve the performance of very low bit rate speech coders and application to a 1.2 kb/s codec" IEE Proceedings- Vision, image and signal processing, vol. 152, no. 1, February, 2005.

[47] P. Clarke and R. C. de Lamare, "Joint Transmit Diversity Optimization and Relay Selection for Multi-Relay Cooperative MIMO Systems Using Discrete Stochastic Algorithms," *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol.15, no.10, pp.1035-1037, October 2011.

[48] P. Clarke and R. C. de Lamare, "Transmit Diversity and Relay Selection Algorithms for Multirelay Cooperative MIMO Systems" *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol.61, no. 3, pp. 1084-1098, October 2011.

[49] Y. Cai, R. C. de Lamare, and R. Fa, "Switched Interleaving Techniques with Limited Feedback for Interference Mitigation in DS-CDMA Systems," IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol.59, no.7, pp.1946-1956, July 2011.

[50] Y. Cai, R. C. de Lamare, D. Le Ruyet, "Transmit Processing Techniques Based on Switched Interleaving and Limited Feedback for Interference Mitigation in Multiantenna MC-CDMA Systems," IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol.60, no.4, pp.1559-1570, May 2011.

[51] T. Wang, R. C. de Lamare, and P. D. Mitchell, "Low-Complexity Set-Membership Channel Estimation for Cooperative Wireless Sensor Networks," IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol.60, no.6, pp.2594-2607, July 2011.

[52] T. Wang, R. C. de Lamare and A. Schmeink, "Joint linear receiver design and power allocation using alternating optimization algorithms for wireless sensor networks," *IEEE Trans. on Vehi. Tech.*, vol. 61, pp. 4129-4141, 2012.

[53] R. C. de Lamare, "Joint iterative power allocation and linear interference suppression algorithms for cooperative DS-CDMA networks", IET Communications, vol. 6, no. 13 , 2012, pp. 1930-1942.

[54] T. Peng, R. C. de Lamare and A. Schmeink, "Adaptive Distributed Space-Time Coding Based on Adjustable Code Matrices for Cooperative MIMO Relaying Systems", *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 61, no. 7, July 2013.

[55] K. Zu, R. C. de Lamare, "Low-Complexity Lattice Reduction-Aided Regularized Block Diagonalization for MU-MIMO Systems", IEEE. Communications Letters, Vol. 16, No. 6, June 2012, pp. 925-928.

[56] K. Zu, R. C. de Lamare, "Low-Complexity Lattice Reduction-Aided Regularized Block Diagonalization for MU-MIMO Systems", IEEE. Communications Letters, Vol. 16, No. 6, June 2012.

[57] K. Zu, R. C. de Lamare and M. Haart, "Generalized design of low-complexity block diagonalization type precoding algorithms for multiuser MIMO systems", IEEE Trans. Communications, 2013.

[58] M. Tomlinson, "New automatic equaliser employing modulo arithmetic," *Electronic Letters*, vol. 7, Mar. 1971.

[59] C. T. Healy and R. C. de Lamare, "Decoder-optimised progressive edge growth algorithms for the design of LDPC codes with low error floors", *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 16, no. 6, June 2012, pp. 889-892.

[60] A. G. D. Uchoa, C. T. Healy, R. C. de Lamare, R. D. Souza, "LDPC codes based on progressive edge growth techniques for block fading channels", *Proc. 8th International Symposium on Wireless Communication Systems (ISWCS)*, 2011, pp. 392-396.

[61] A. G. D. Uchoa, C. T. Healy, R. C. de Lamare, R. D. Souza, "Generalised Quasi-Cyclic LDPC codes based on progressive edge growth techniques for block fading channels", *Proc. International Symposium Wireless Communication Systems (ISWCS)*, 2012, pp. 974-978.

[62] A. G. D. Uchoa, C. T. Healy, R. C. de Lamare, R. D. Souza, "Design of LDPC Codes Based on Progressive Edge Growth Techniques for Block Fading Channels", *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 15, no. 11, November 2011, pp. 1221-1223.

[63] H. Harashima and H. Miyakawa, "Matched-transmission technique for channels with intersymbol interference," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 20, Aug. 1972.

[64] K. Zu, R. C. de Lamare and M. Haardt, "Multi-branch tomlinson-harashima precoding for single-user MIMO systems," in Smart Antennas (WSA), 2012 International ITG Workshop on , vol., no., pp.36-40, 7-8 March 2012.

[65] K. Zu, R. C. de Lamare and M. Haardt, "Multi-Branch Tomlinson-Harashima Precoding Design for MU-MIMO Systems: Theory and Algorithms," IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol.62, no.3, pp.939,951, March 2014.

[66] L. Zhang, Y. Cai, R. C. de Lamare and M. Zhao, "Robust Multibranch TomlinsonHarashima Precoding Design in Amplify-and-Forward MIMO Relay Systems," IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol.62, no.10, pp.3476,3490, Oct. 2014.

[67] B. Hochwald, C. Peel and A. Swindlehurst, "A vector-perturbation technique for near capacity multiantenna multiuser communication - Part II: Perturbation," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 53, no. 3, Mar. 2005.

[68] C. B. Chae, S. Shim and R. W. Heath, "Block diagonalized vector perturbation for multiuser MIMO systems," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 7, no. 11, pp. 4051 - 4057, Nov. 2008.

[69] R. C. de Lamare, R. Sampaio-Neto, "Adaptive MBER decision feedback multiuser receivers in frequency selective fading channels", *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 7, no. 2, Feb. 2003, pp. 73 - 75.

[70] A. Rontogiannis, V. Kekatos, and K. Berberidis," A Square-Root Adaptive V-BLAST Algorithm for Fast Time-Varying MIMO Channels," *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, Vol. 13, No. 5, pp. 265-268, May 2006.

[71] R. C. de Lamare, R. Sampaio-Neto, A. Hjorungnes, "Joint iterative interference cancellation and parameter estimation for CDMA systems", *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 11, no. 12, December 2007, pp. 916 - 918.

[72] Y. Cai and R. C. de Lamare, "Adaptive Space-Time Decision Feedback Detectors with Multiple Feedback Cancellation", *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 58, no. 8, October 2009, pp. 4129 - 4140.

[73] J. W. Choi, A. C. Singer, J Lee, N. I. Cho, "Improved linear soft-input soft-output detection via soft feedback successive interference cancellation," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol.58, no.3, pp.986-996, March 2010.

[74] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Blind adaptive MIMO receivers for space-time block-coded DS-CDMA systems in multipath channels using the constant modulus criterion," IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol.58, no.1, pp.21-27, January 2010.

[75] R. Fa, R. C. de Lamare, "Multi-Branch Successive Interference Cancellation for MIMO Spatial Multiplexing Systems", *IET Communications*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 484 - 494, March 2011.

[76] R.C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Adaptive reduced-rank equalization algorithms based on alternating optimization design techniques for MIMO systems," IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol., vol. 60, no. 6, pp. 2482-2494, July 2011.

[77] P. Li, R. C. de Lamare and R. Fa, "Multiple Feedback Successive Interference Cancellation Detection for Multiuser MIMO Systems," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 10, no. 8, pp. 2434 - 2439, August 2011.

[78] R.C. de Lamare, R. Sampaio-Neto, "Minimum mean-squared error iterative successive parallel arbitrated decision feedback detectors for DS-CDMA systems," IEEE Trans. Commun., vol. 56, no. 5, May 2008, pp. 778-789.

[79] R.C. de Lamare, R. Sampaio-Neto, "Minimum mean-squared error iterative successive parallel arbitrated decision feedback detectors for DS-CDMA systems," IEEE Trans. Commun., vol. 56, no. 5, May 2008.

[80] R.C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Adaptive reduced-rank equalization algorithms based on alternating optimization design techniques for MIMO systems," IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol., vol. 60, no. 6, pp. 2482-2494, July 2011.

[81] P. Li, R. C. de Lamare and J. Liu, "Adaptive Decision Feedback Detection with Parallel Interference Cancellation and Constellation Constraints for Multiuser MIMO systems", IET Communications, vol.7, 2012, pp. 538-547.

[82] J. Liu, R. C. de Lamare, "Low-Latency Reweighted Belief Propagation Decoding for LDPC Codes," IEEE Communications Letters, vol. 16, no. 10, pp. 1660-1663, October 2012.

[83] P. Li and R. C. de Lamare, Distributed Iterative Detection With Reduced Message Passing for Networked MIMO Cellular Systems, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol.63, no.6, pp. 2947-2954, July 2014.

[84] A. G. D. Uchoa, C. T. Healy and R. C. de Lamare, "Iterative Detection and Decoding Algorithms For MIMO Systems in Block-Fading Channels Using LDPC Codes," IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, 2015.

[85] R. C. de Lamare, "Adaptive and Iterative Multi-Branch MMSE Decision Feedback Detection Algorithms for Multi-Antenna Systems", *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 14, no. 10, October 2013.

[86] L. L. Scharf and D. W. Tufts, "Rank reduction for modeling stationary signals," *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing*, vol. ASSP-35, pp. 350-355, March 1987.

[87] A. M. Haimovich and Y. Bar-Ness, "An eigenanalysis interference canceler," *IEEE Trans. on Signal Processing*, vol. 39, pp. 76-84, Jan. 1991.

[88] D. A. Pados and S. N. Batalama "Joint space-time auxiliary vector filtering for DS/CDMA systems with antenna arrays" *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 47, no. 9, pp. 1406 - 1415, 1999.

[89] J. S. Goldstein, I. S. Reed and L. L. Scharf "A multistage representation of the Wiener filter based on orthogonal projections" *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 44, no. 7, 1998.

[90] Y. Hua, M. Nikpour and P. Stoica, "Optimal reduced rank estimation and filtering," IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, pp. 457-469, Vol. 49, No. 3, March 2001.

[91] M. L. Honig and J. S. Goldstein, "Adaptive reduced-rank interference suppression based on the multistage Wiener filter," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 50, no. 6, June 2002.

[92] E. L. Santos and M. D. Zoltowski, "On Low Rank MVDR Beamforming using the Conjugate Gradient Algorithm", *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing*, 2004.

[93] Q. Haoli and S.N. Batalama, "Data record-based criteria for the selection of an auxiliary vector estimator of the MMSE/MVDR filter", *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 51, no. 10, Oct. 2003, pp. 1700 - 1708.

[94] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Reduced-Rank Adaptive Filtering Based on Joint Iterative Optimization of Adaptive Filters", *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, Vol. 14, no. 12, December 2007.

[95] Z. Xu and M.K. Tsatsanis, "Blind adaptive algorithms for minimum variance CDMA receivers," *IEEE Trans. Communications*, vol. 49, No. 1, January 2001.

[96] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Low-Complexity Variable Step-Size Mechanisms for Stochastic Gradient Algorithms in Minimum Variance CDMA Receivers", *IEEE Trans. Signal Processing*, vol. 54, pp. 2302 - 2317, June 2006.

[97] C. Xu, G. Feng and K. S. Kwak, "A Modified Constrained Constant Modulus Approach to Blind Adaptive Multiuser Detection," *IEEE Trans. Communications*, vol. 49, No. 9, 2001.

[98] Z. Xu and P. Liu, "Code-Constrained Blind Detection of CDMA Signals in Multipath Channels," *IEEE Sig. Proc. Letters*, vol. 9, No. 12, December 2002.

[99] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio Neto, "Blind Adaptive Code-Constrained Constant Modulus Algorithms for CDMA Interference Suppression in Multipath Channels", *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol 9. no. 4, April, 2005.

[100] L. Landau, R. C. de Lamare and M. Haardt, "Robust adaptive beamforming algorithms using the constrained constant modulus criterion," IET Signal Processing, vol.8, no.5, pp.447-457, July 2014.

[101] R. C. de Lamare, "Adaptive Reduced-Rank LCMV Beamforming Algorithms Based on Joint Iterative Optimisation of Filters", *Electronics Letters*, vol. 44, no. 9, 2008.

[102] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Adaptive Reduced-Rank Processing Based on Joint and Iterative Interpolation, Decimation and Filtering", *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 57, no. 7, July 2009, pp. 2503 - 2514.

[103] R. C. de Lamare and Raimundo Sampaio-Neto, "Reduced-rank Interference Suppression for DS-CDMA based on Interpolated FIR Filters", *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 9, no. 3, March 2005.

[104] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Adaptive Reduced-Rank MMSE Filtering with Interpolated FIR Filters and Adaptive Interpolators", *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, vol. 12, no. 3, March, 2005.

[105] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Adaptive Interference Suppression for DS-CDMA Systems based on Interpolated FIR Filters with Adaptive Interpolators in Multipath Channels", *IEEE Trans. Vehicular Technology*, Vol. 56, no. 6, September 2007.

[106] R. C. de Lamare, "Adaptive Reduced-Rank LCMV Beamforming Algorithms Based on Joint Iterative Optimisation of Filters," Electronics Letters, 2008.

[107] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Reduced-rank adaptive filtering based on joint iterative optimization of adaptive filters", *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 14, no. 12, pp. 980-983, Dec. 2007.

[108] R. C. de Lamare, M. Haardt, and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Blind Adaptive Constrained Reduced-Rank Parameter Estimation based on Constant Modulus Design for CDMA Interference Suppression", *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, June 2008.

[109] M. Yukawa, R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Efficient Acoustic Echo Cancellation With Reduced-Rank Adaptive Filtering Based on Selective Decimation and Adaptive Interpolation," IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing, vol.16, no. 4, pp. 696-710, May 2008.

[110] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Reduced-rank space-time adaptive interference suppression with joint iterative least squares algorithms for spread-spectrum systems," *IEEE Trans. Vehi. Technol.*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 1217-1228, Mar. 2010.

[111] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Adaptive reduced-rank equalization algorithms based on alternating optimization design techniques for MIMO systems," *IEEE Trans. Vehi. Technol.*, vol. 60, no. 6, pp. 2482-2494, Jul. 2011.

[112] R. C. de Lamare, L. Wang, and R. Fa, "Adaptive reduced-rank LCMV beamforming algorithms based on joint iterative optimization of filters: Design and analysis," Signal Processing, vol. 90, no. 2, pp. 640-652, Feb. 2010.

[113] R. Fa, R. C. de Lamare, and L. Wang, "Reduced-Rank STAP Schemes for Airborne Radar Based on Switched Joint Interpolation, Decimation and Filtering Algorithm," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol.58, no.8, Aug. 2010, pp.4182-4194.

[114] L. Wang and R. C. de Lamare, "Low-Complexity Adaptive Step Size Constrained Constant Modulus SG Algorithms for Blind Adaptive Beamforming", *Signal Processing*, vol. 89, no. 12, December 2009, pp. 2503-2513.

[115] L. Wang and R. C. de Lamare, "Adaptive Constrained Constant Modulus Algorithm Based on Auxiliary Vector Filtering for Beamforming," IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 58, no. 10, pp. 5408-5413, Oct. 2010.

[116] L. Wang, R. C. de Lamare, M. Yukawa, "Adaptive Reduced-Rank Constrained Constant Modulus Algorithms Based on Joint Iterative Optimization of Filters for Beamforming," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol.58, no.6, June 2010, pp.2983-2997.

[117] L. Wang, R. C. de Lamare and M. Yukawa, "Adaptive reduced-rank constrained constant modulus algorithms based on joint iterative optimization of filters for beamforming", IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol.58, no. 6, pp. 2983-2997, June 2010.

[118] L. Wang and R. C. de Lamare, "Adaptive constrained constant modulus algorithm based on auxiliary vector filtering for beamforming", IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 58, no. 10, pp. 5408-5413, October 2010.

[119] R. Fa and R. C. de Lamare, "Reduced-Rank STAP Algorithms using Joint Iterative Optimization of Filters," IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, vol.47, no.3, pp.1668-1684, July 2011.

[120] Z. Yang, R. C. de Lamare and X. Li, "L1-Regularized STAP Algorithms With a Generalized Sidelobe Canceler Architecture for Airborne Radar," IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol.60, no.2, pp.674-686, Feb. 2012.

[121] Z. Yang, R. C. de Lamare and X. Li, "Sparsity-aware spacetime adaptive processing algorithms with L1-norm regularisation for airborne radar", IET signal processing, vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 413-423, 2012.

[122] Neto, F.G.A.; Nascimento, V.H.; Zakharov, Y.V.; de Lamare, R.C., "Adaptive re-weighting homotopy for sparse beamforming," in Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO), 2014 Proceedings of the 22nd European , vol., no., pp.1287-1291, 1-5 Sept. 2014

[123] Almeida Neto, F.G.; de Lamare, R.C.; Nascimento, V.H.; Zakharov, Y.V.,"Adaptive reweighting homotopy algorithms applied to beamforming," IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, vol.51, no.3, pp.1902-1915, July 2015.

[124] L. Wang, R. C. de Lamare and M. Haardt, "Direction finding algorithms based on joint iterative subspace optimization," IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, vol.50, no.4, pp.2541-2553, October 2014.

[125] S. D. Somasundaram, N. H. Parsons, P. Li and R. C. de Lamare, "Reduced-dimension robust capon beamforming using Krylov-subspace techniques," IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, vol.51, no.1, pp.270-289, January 2015.

[126] S. Xu and R.C de Lamare, , *Distributed conjugate gradient strategies for distributed estimation over sensor networks*, Sensor Signal Processing for Defense SSPD, September 2012.

[127] S. Xu, R. C. de Lamare, H. V. Poor, "Distributed Estimation Over Sensor Networks Based on Distributed Conjugate Gradient Strategies", IET Signal Processing, 2016 (to appear).

[128] S. Xu, R. C. de Lamare and H. V. Poor, *Distributed Compressed Estimation Based on Compressive Sensing*, IEEE Signal Processing letters, vol. 22, no. 9, September 2014.

[129] S. Xu, R. C. de Lamare and H. V. Poor, "Distributed reduced-rank estimation based on joint iterative optimization in sensor networks," in Proceedings of the 22nd European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO), pp.2360-2364, 1-5, Sept. 2014

[130] S. Xu, R. C. de Lamare and H. V. Poor, "Adaptive link selection strategies for distributed estimation in diffusion wireless networks," in Proc. IEEE International Conference onAcoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), , vol., no., pp.5402-5405, 26-31 May 2013.

[131] S. Xu, R. C. de Lamare and H. V. Poor, "Dynamic topology adaptation for distributed estimation in smart grids," in Computational Advances in Multi-Sensor Adaptive Processing (CAMSAP), 2013 IEEE 5th International Workshop on , vol., no., pp.420-423, 15-18 Dec. 2013.

[132] S. Xu, R. C. de Lamare and H. V. Poor, "Adaptive Link Selection Algorithms for Distributed Estimation", EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing, 2015.

[133] N. Song, R. C. de Lamare, M. Haardt, and M. Wolf, "Adaptive Widely Linear Reduced-Rank Interference Suppression based on the Multi-Stage Wiener Filter," IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 60, no. 8, 2012.

[134] N. Song, W. U. Alokozai, R. C. de Lamare and M. Haardt, "Adaptive Widely Linear Reduced-Rank Beamforming Based on Joint Iterative Optimization," IEEE Signal Processing Letters, vol.21, no.3, pp. 265-269, March 2014.

[135] R.C. de Lamare, R. Sampaio-Neto and M. Haardt, "Blind Adaptive Constrained Constant-Modulus Reduced-Rank Interference Suppression Algorithms Based on Interpolation and Switched Decimation," *IEEE Trans. on Signal Processing*, vol.59, no.2, pp.681-695, Feb. 2011.

[136] Y. Cai, R. C. de Lamare, "Adaptive Linear Minimum BER Reduced-Rank Interference Suppression Algorithms Based on Joint and Iterative Optimization of Filters," IEEE Communications Letters, vol.17, no.4, pp.633-636, April 2013.

[137] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Sparsity-Aware Adaptive Algorithms Based on Alternating Optimization and Shrinkage," IEEE Signal Processing Letters, vol.21, no.2, pp.225,229, Feb. 2014.


secrecy rate (bits/Hz)
SNR(dB)
0
5
10
15
20
25
30
35
40
45
η=0.1
η=0.5
η=0.8
η=1
η=1.5
η=1.9


secrecy rate (bits/Hz)
SNR(dB)
0
5
10
15
20
25
30
35
40
45
η=0.1
η=0.5
η=0.8
η=1
η=1.5
η=1.9